





Access to Financing:

Achieving and Maintaining Financial Sustainability of Water Utilities

Make It Rain: DWP KnowNow Webinar











Approach & Methodology

- Delivery follows the standard three-workshop D-LeaP cycle with homework and trainers' support in-between
- Two target groups: decision makers and upper management
- Events (workshops) formatted as training and peer exchange

Intermediate CD Event

- 2a) Decision makers (LGUs, PUCs)
 Format: Peer Exchange
- 2b) Upper management (LGUs, PUCs) Format: Traning

Call for registraton



LGUs and PUCs registration Definition of team Payment of fee 1a

1b

LGUs and PUCs "homework" "Light" advisory support of Hub

2a

2b

Development of action plan "Light" advisory support from

LGUs and PUCs "homework"

hub

Applied knowledge Concrete outputs for LGUs / PUCs Action Plan

Initial CD Event

1a) Decision makers (LGUs, PUCs) <u>Format</u>: Peer Exchange

1b) Upper management (LGUs, PUCs)
Format: Traning

Final CD Event

Joint CD event of:

- Decision makers (LGUs, PUCs)
- Upper management (LGUs, PUCs) Format: Peer exchange







Overal Objective



Create instrument for cooperation with IFIs

- Education of Employees (individual capacities)
- Action Plan (organizational capacities)
- Collaboration of LGUs and PUCs (institutional capacities)



Contribute to creating a reliable partner for IFIs



Achieve a better understanding on how to prepare and implement capital infrastructure investments







First Homework

Self-assessment of operational and financial indicators



Second Homework

Development of Action Plan for Achieving Financial Sustainability









First Homework: Operational Performance

	INDICATORS	2018	2019	2020	AVERAGE
Access to services	Coverage by the public water supply service (%)	86.81%	86.85%	87.49%	87.05%
	Coverage by the wastewater collection (%)	39.93%	40.32%	44.54%	41.60%
	Coverage by the wastewater treatment service (%)	39.93%	40.32%	44.54%	41.60%
	Produced water (litters / persons / day)	729.2	540.7	505	591.63
Quality of services	Continuity of service (hours / day)	23.86	23.87	23.85	23.86
	Water pressure in the distribution network (bars)	4	4	4	4
Water quality	Residual chlorine (% of samples tested)	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Bacteriological quality of water (% samples that passed the test)	98.50%	99.10%	98.80%	98.80%
	Chemical quality of water (% samples that passed the test)	97.30%	99.40%	97.30%	98.00%
Measurement	Level of measurement	97.64%	94.61%	92.90%	95.05%
Non-revenue water	Non-revenue water (%)	72.51%	62.33%	58.01%	64.28%
Network status	Number of failures on pipes (number of failures per kilometre of pipeline)	1.87	2.43	2.26	2.19
	Sewer system blockages (number of blockages per kilometre of sewer pipes)	2.27	2.34	2.13	2.25
	Number of illegal connections detected by PUCs / PUCs during the year	1	2	1	1.33
Employees	Number of employees in PUC per 1,000 inhabitants - service users	3.19	3.25	3.18	3.21
	Number of JVP / JKP employees per 1,000 connections	11.85	11	10.36	11.07
Asset management	Assets recorded in the business books(%)	100%	100%	100%	100%
Affordability of tariffs	Affordability of water service tariffs (%)	2.09%	2.03%	2.19%	2.10%







First Homework: Financial Performance

INDICATORS		2018	2019	2020	AVERAGE
Liquidity indicators	Accelerated liquidity indicators	4.54	4.43	4.04	4.33
	Working capital	1,682,566	1,731,612	1,914,002	1,776,060
	Current liquidity ratios	6.32	6.5	5.55	6.12
Coverage ratios	Debt coverage indicator	1.43	1.54	0.87	1.26
	Cash flow coverage indicator			22.33	
	Debt-to-equity ratio	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.06
Efficiency ratios	Collection efficiency	86.15%	85.65%	81.64%	84.48%
	No of days for collection	65.70	75.95	85.51	74.06
Productivity ratios	Average cost per employee	62%	62%	64%	63%
	Share of employee cost in operating revenues	62.08%	62.44%	63.73%	62.75%
Profitability ratios	EBIT margin	0.29%	0.54%	0.96%	0.6%
	Net profit margin	0.00%	0.27%	0.59%	0.3%
	ROE	0.00%	0.14%	0.33%	0.2%
	ROA	0.00%	0.14%	0.32%	0.2%
	EBITDA margin	13.46%	13.73%	13.70%	13.6%
Cost- effectiveness indicators	Relationship between operating income and operating expenses	0.93	0.98	0.98	0.96
	The cost of electricity as % OPEX	10.75%	9.02%	8.01%	9.3%
	Employee costs as % OPEX	57.82%	61.17%	62.59%	60.5%







In Conclusion

- Linking technical and financial performance is a must;
- Putting LGUs and PUCs to work together is beneficial for both parties;
- Having two different target groups decision makers and upper management enables tailored-made approach responding to specific needs of each group;
- Its is recognised that business performance and cost-effectiveness analysis need to be conducted and Action Plan developed as a requirement for creating conditions to attract, absorb and make capital investments sustainable;
- The Program could be used as a "pre-assessment exercise" for those LGUs and PUCs that are looking for a loan;
- Applicable for the implementation in other South-East Europe countries.

Thank You!







www.d-leap.org